

Merchant & Gould
An Intellectual Property Law Firm

3200 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota
55402-2215 USA
TEL 612.332.5300
FAX 612.332.9081
www.merchant-
gould.com

A Professional Corporation

Fax Transmission | September 17, 2003

TO: Commissioner for Patents
Attn: Examiner Joshua Pritchett
Patent Examining Corps
Facsimile Center
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FROM: Douglas P. Mueller

OUR REF: 10873.779USWO
TELEPHONE: 612.371.5237

Total pages, including cover letter: 6

PTO FAX NUMBER 1-703-873-9318

OFFICIAL

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 612.332.5300, or fax us at 612.332.9081.

Title of Document Transmitted: Response
Applicant: Yoshikawa et al.
Serial No.: 09/913,018
Filed: August 8, 2001
Group Art Unit: 2872
Our Ref. No.: 10873.779USWO

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

SEP 22 2003

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2725. Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate.

By: [Signature]
Name: Douglas P. Mueller
Reg. No.: 30,300

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Tracy L. Hollom
[Signature]
Signature


9.17.03
Date

S/N 09/913,018

PATENTIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	YOSHIKAWA ET AL.	Examiner:	J. PRITCHETT
Serial No.:	09/913,018	Group Art Unit:	2872
Filed:	AUGUST 8, 2001	Docket No.:	10873.779USWO
Title:	REFLECTIVE OPTICAL DEVICE, AND REFLECTIVE SOLID-STATE OPTICAL DEVICE, AND IMAGING DEVICE, MULTI-WAVELENGTH IMAGING DEVICE, VIDEO CAMERA DEVICE, AND VEHICLE-MOUNTED MONITOR UTILIZING THE SAME		

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.6(d): I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on September 17, 2003.

By: 
Name: Tracy L. Hollom

RESPONSE

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the office action dated June 17, 2003, Applicant requests reconsideration in view of the following.

Claims 1-6, 8-10, 15, 32-34, 41, 97, 99 and 102 are pending.

Claims 15 and 99 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Abel (US 3,811,749).

In addition, claims 1, 5-6 and 97 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abel and Ohzawa (US 5,993,010).

In addition, claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abel, Ohzawa and Cook (US 4,834,517).

Further, claims 32-34, 41 and 102 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abel, Ohzawa, and Willey (US 5,841,574).

Applicants respectfully traverse each of these rejections, and reconsideration is requested in view of the following.